



# Infiltration Feasibility Worksheet

## Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit (MRP)

### Stormwater Controls for Development Projects

Complete this worksheet for C.3 Regulated Projects\* for which the soil hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) exceeds 1.6. Use this checklist to determine the feasibility of treating the C.3.d amount of runoff\* with infiltration. Where it is infeasible to treat the C.3.d amount of runoff\* with infiltration or rainwater harvesting and use, stormwater may be treated with biotreatment\* measures. See Glossary (Attachment 1) for definitions of terms marked with an asterisk (\*).

#### 1. Enter Project Data.

- 1.1 Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.2 Project Address: \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.3 Applicant/Agent Name: \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.4 Applicant/Agent Address: \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.5 Applicant/Agent Email: \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant / Agent Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 2. Evaluate infiltration feasibility.

Check "Yes" or "No" to indicate whether the following conditions apply to the project. If "Yes" is checked for any question, then infiltration is infeasible, and you can continue to Item 3.1 without answering any further questions in Section 2. If all of the answers in Section 2 are "No," then infiltration is feasible, and you may design infiltration facilities\* for the area from which runoff must be treated. Items 2.1 through 2.3 address the feasibility of using infiltration facilities\*, as well as the potential need to line bioretention areas.

- |  | Yes                      | No                       |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2.1 Would infiltration facilities at this site conflict with the location of existing or proposed underground utilities or easements, or would the siting of infiltration facilities at this site result in their placement on top of underground utilities, or otherwise oriented to underground utilities, such that they would discharge to the utility trench, restrict access, or cause stability concerns? (If yes, attach evidence documenting this condition.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.2 Is there a documented concern that there is a potential on the site for soil or groundwater pollutants to be mobilized? (If yes, attach documentation of mobilization concerns.)   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.3 Are geotechnical hazards present, such as steep slopes, areas with landslide potential, soils subject to liquefaction, or would an infiltration facility need to be built less than 10 feet from a building foundation or other improvements subject to undermining by saturated soils? (If yes, attach documentation of geotechnical hazard.)   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Respond to Questions 2.4 through 2.8 only if the project proposes to use an infiltration device\*.

- |   |                          |                          |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2.4 Do local water district or other agency's policies or guidelines regarding the locations where infiltration may occur, the separation from seasonal high groundwater, or setbacks from potential sources of pollution prevent infiltration devices from being implemented at this site? (If yes, attach evidence documenting this condition.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.5 Would construction of an infiltration device require that it be located less than 100 feet away from a septic tank, underground storage tank with hazardous materials, or other potential underground source of pollution? (If yes, attach evidence documenting this claim.)  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

# Infiltration Feasibility Worksheet

- |   | Yes                      | No                       |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2.6 Is there a seasonal high groundwater table or mounded groundwater that would be within 10 feet of the base of an infiltration device* constructed on the site? (If yes, attach documentation of high groundwater.)  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.7 Are there land uses that pose a high threat to water quality – including but not limited to industrial and light industrial activities, high vehicular traffic (i.e., 25,000 or greater average daily traffic on a main roadway or 15,000 or more average daily traffic on any intersecting roadway), automotive repair shops, car washes, fleet storage areas, or nurseries? (If yes, attach evidence documenting this claim.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.8 Is there a groundwater production well within 100 feet of the location where an infiltration device would be constructed? (If yes, attach map showing the well.)  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## 3. Results of Feasibility Determination

- |  | Infeasible               | Feasible                 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 3.1 Based on the results of the Section 2 feasibility analysis, infiltration is (check one): | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

→ If "FEASIBLE" is indicated for Item 3.1, then the amount of stormwater requiring treatment must be treated with infiltration (or rainwater harvest and use, if feasible). **Infiltration facilities\*** may be designed for the area from which runoff must be treated.

→ If "INFEASIBLE" is checked for item 3.1, then the applicant may use appropriately designed **biotreatment facilities\*** for compliance with C.3 treatment requirements. The applicant is encouraged to maximize infiltration of stormwater if site conditions allow.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Applicant (Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Applicant (Sign)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date