

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

PLANNING DIVISION

500 Castro Street, P.O. Box 7540 Mountain View, CA 94039-7540 650-903-6306 | <u>MountainView.gov</u>

Emailed: mlambra@yahoo.com anthonyslho@gmail.com & genna.yarkin@hklaw.com

March 5, 2024

Mario Ambra 987 N. Rengstorff Ave Mountain View, CA 94043

Re: Development Review Permit, Heritage Tree Removal Permit, Special Design Permit, and a Subdivision Permit for a Lot Line Adjustment 901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175

Dear Mario Ambra:

The application for a Development Review Permit, Heritage Tree Removal Permit, Special Design Permit, and a Subdivision Permit for a Lot Line Adjustment at 901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) was deemed complete by the City on January 5, 2024. As described in the completeness letter (referenced above), the next step in the development review process for the City is to provide the applicant with written documentation identifying applicable standards with which the proposed housing development project is inconsistent and an explanation of the reason or reasons the City considers the housing development to be inconsistent with such standards. Therefore, as the Housing Accountability Act requires, this letter provides the City's analysis documenting inconsistencies within 60 days after the housing development application was determined to be complete.

Compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

A formal CEQA determination has not been made at this time. Upon preliminary review, the following studies are expected to be required: Historic/Cultural Resource, Noise, GHG, Air Quality, Phase II Analysis, Transportation (including Vehicle Miles Traveled and Multimodal Transportation Analyses) and Utilities (Utility Impact Study). However, it may be determined that additional studies are required upon subsequent project reviews. The City is currently scoping the environmental review for the project and will determine the steps necessary to comply with CEQA regulations, this information will be provided to you separately when available.

Compliance Items

Although the Housing Accountability Act limits the City's ability to deny a qualifying Builder's Remedy project or condition it in a manner that would render the project infeasible for affordable housing development, the Housing Accountability Act does not prohibit the City from requiring a proposed housing development project to comply with objective, quantifiable, written

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175 Page 2

development standards, conditions, and policies, provided that these requirements accommodate development at the density permitted and/or proposed on the site.

The City has determined this project is inconsistent, not in compliance, or not in conformity with the applicable, objective plans, programs, policies, ordinances, standards, and requirements identified herein. These inconsistencies must be addressed during the entitlement stage, or, if the inconsistencies are not addressed but project is approved, the City will adopt conditions of approval to enforce compliance. The City has also identified potential inconsistencies with development standards that must be addressed prior to the issuance of building permits for the project that the applicant may wish to address through project modifications at the entitlement stage to avoid post-entitlement delays or plan set modifications. In addition, the application package does not provide sufficient information for the staff to evaluate the project's consistency with applicable, objective standards. Finally, there are a number of objective standards that the project conflicts with, but that do not apply to a Builder's Remedy project.

Therefore, the consistency analysis below is broken up into four sections:

- A. Inconsistencies or non-compliant items that must be addressed during the entitlement stage. These are applicable, objective standards that must be addressed in the next project submittal or that will become recommended conditions of project approval. The City believes that the project can be modified to comply with these standards without impacting the project's proposed density or the project's feasibility.
- B. Applicable, objective standards that may affect the project's design. These are applicable, objective standards that must be addressed before the project receives building permits, should the City approve the project. Although the applicant is not required to address each of these comments during the entitlement phase, the City believes that further changes to the project may be required. For example, CBC Section 403.5.4 requires all stairs to be constructed as smokeproof enclosures, but the application does not provide sufficient detail to confirm compliance at this time. In an effort to streamline post-entitlement permitting and avoid future redesigns, City staff is raising these future compliance issues for the applicant's information and to provide the applicant with the opportunity to confirm that its plans conform with the Building Code and other applicable standards necessary to obtain building permits.
- C. Potential inconsistencies/non-compliance. These are applicable, objective standards that must be addressed, but where it is unclear to staff if the project complies. Additional, clarified and/or corrected information is needed in these areas to determine if the project complies with these applicable standards, and they are noted as potential inconsistencies for the applicant to address.
- D. **Other inconsistent or non-compliant items.** Because the housing development project as proposed would be eligible for the Builder's Remedy, objective standards that would

otherwise be applicable but that do not provide a basis for the City to deny or condition the project. Although the City hopes the applicant will attempt to address some of these items, inconsistencies with these standards are not required, and the standards are provided for informational purposes only.

Each section provides an individual table containing City comments from all reviewing departments, as follows:

A. Inconsistencies or non-compliant items that must be addressed during the entitlement stage.

The project is inconsistent with the development standards and/or code requirements identified in the following table (below), which must be addressed in the next project submittal or, if not addressed, will become conditions of project approval. Where feasible, staff has identified potential options to improve project compliance.

REVISE T	VISE THE PROJECT TO ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING CODES AND REGULATIONS				
Sr.	Development	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance	
No.	Standard – R3-2cd				
	Zoning District				
A.1.	Open Area & Private Open Space	shall include a minimum of 40 sq. ft. of private open space per Zoning Ordinance Section 36.10.70. Private Open Space: 18,200 sq. ft. of private open space with a minimum 40	was not provided, per Zoning Ordinance definition. * Private Open Space: 1,313 sq. ft. (Per applicant's plan	Project does not comply with open area and private open space standards. Greater compliance may result from correctly calculated open area and plan modifications to increase project	
		sq. ft. of private open space (e.g. yards, decks, balconies) per unit (455 units X 40 sq. ft. = 18,200 sq. ft. of private open space) per Zoning Ordinance Section 36.10.70.	data.)	open area and/or private open space.	

Open Area Comments: The plans include open space data, which indicates the project does or may not fully comply with open area and does not comply with private open space standards. The project should be revised with the aim of compliance with this standard. The plan set also includes internally inconsistent open area/space data between the summary table on Sheet 0 and the graphic tabulations on Sheets A7.3-A7.4. Additionally, neither dataset correctly calculates "open area", per Zoning Ordinance Section. 36.60.33, and the amount of open area and private open space, respectively, are not identified on Sheet 0. In addition to other solutions that the applicant may identify, the provision of additional balconies would increase the amount of open area and private open space in the project without decreasing project density, thereby improving project compliance with these standards and livability for future residents.

A.2.	Personal Storage	500 cubic feet of	Zero (0) sq. ft. of	Non-Compliant.
		enclosed and secured	personal storage.	Project does not
		storage area for bulky		comply with
		personal effects (such as		personal storage
		recreational equipment)		standards. Greater
		for each unit per Zoning		compliance may
		Ordinance <u>Section</u>		result from floor
		<u>36.10.70</u> .		plan adjustments to
				create more
				personal storage.

Personal Storage Comments: The proposed project includes several common amenity rooms (e.g. fitness room and other 15th floor interior amenity rooms), multiple ground-floor lobbies, upper floor atria and parking facilities such as motorcycle parking that are not required by City codes and regulations and could be reduced in size and/or fully converted to provide personal storage area along with any other floor plan adjustments the applicant may identify. Personal storage rooms (containing multiple, secure lockers) are commonly placed in garages, but some development projects in Mountain View have also placed personal storage rooms on upper floors or provided individual storage closets (directly accessible from interior hallways) throughout a development.

A.3.	Bicycle Parking	Guest (Class II/III)- 1	18 spaces provided.	Non-compliant.
	(Class II/III – Short-	space per 10 units (46		Project does not
	Term Bike Parking)	spaces required per		comply with bicycle
		Zoning Ordinance		parking standards.
		Section 36.32.85.		Greater compliance
A.4.	Bicycle Parking	Resident (Class I)- 1	165 spaces	may result from
	(Class I – Long-	space per unit (455	provided.	adjustments to site
	Term Bike Parking)	spaces required per		and floor plans.
		Zoning Ordinance		
		<u>Section 36.32.85</u> .		

Bicycle Parking Comments: The proposed project includes several common amenity rooms (e.g. fitness room and other 15th floor interior amenity rooms), multiple ground-floor lobbies, upper

floor atria and parking facilities such as motorcycle parking that are not required by City codes and regulations and could be reduced in size and/or fully converted to bicycle parking facilities to better comply with required bicycle parking standards, in particular secure bicycle parking for future residents. Also:

- Proposed short-term (guest/visitor) bicycle parking largely occurs within the garage, which has secure gated access that impairs visitor access and conflicts with adopted standards for these Class II/III spaces. These spots are to be located along well-traveled pedestrian paths, accessible to the public, or within constant visual range of persons within the building. Additional short-term bicycle parking spaces should be place as to not exceed 50' (VTA Bicycle Technical Guide, page 10-2); there appears to onsite area available for additional placements, particularly near building entries.
- Sheets A0.1-A0.3 show long-term bicycle parking rooms that do not appear large enough to house 165 secure spaces; provide more detailed specifications and dimensions to confirm the proposed (non-compliant) parking quantity is feasible or if a lower number of spaces would result from the proposal.

Additional bicycle parking standards and adopted guidelines information may be found in Zoning Ordinance Section. 36.32.85 and the City's adopted Bicycle Parking Guidelines.

Sr.	General		Proposed	Compliance
No.	Development			
	Standards (Zoning)			
A.5.	Landscaping Standards: - Plant Spacing (Min.) - Tree Information	 Plant Spacing: Per Zoning Ordinance Section 36.34.10 ©: 12 inches on center for flats. 24 inches on center for one gallon (all ground cover shall be triangularly spaced). Shrubs shall be three to five feet on-center (depending on species). Trees shall be spaced such that, at maturity, the drip lines do not overlap. 	 Plant Spacing: Spacing was only provided for ground covers at 3' O.C. Tree Information: Sheet L-1.5 and Sheet L-1.6 show different information and the number of heritage trees is inconsistent between the sheets. 	 Plant Spacing: Non-compliant spacing was provided for ground cover plantings. Spacing for other plant species was not provided and compliance could not be determined. * Tree Information: Conflicting tree information is provided on Sheets L-1.5 and L-1.6. Greater compliance may result from

° Tree Information:	adjustments to
Plans must include	landscape plans.
accurate information	
on tree locations and	
dispositions, aligning	
landscape plans with	
arborist report	
information.	

Landscape Spacing Comments: (Plant Spacing) The legend provided on the landscape plans (Sheet L1.1) only includes spacing information for ground cover plantings, which is inconsistent with the 24" requirement. Spacing for other plant types was not provided, and plans must be updated to comply with required spacing per Zoning Ordinance Section 36.34.10 (c). Additionally, tree spacing must be done in a manner that allows trees to reach full maturity. In the case of the proposed Scarlet Oak and Coast Live Oak trees to be planted, the spacing must be adjusted to a minimum of 30 feet per CalPoly TreeSelect data indicating both species have canopy spreads of up to 50 feet.

(Tree Information) Sheet L-1.6 shows 30 Heritage trees whereas Sheet L-1.5 states 19 heritage trees. The chart on Sheet L-1.5 states 3 trees will be relocated, but the plans show 5 trees will be relocated (Tree #122-126). Sheet L-1.5 states there are 126 trees on site, but it appears to account for tree 5-15 which are not on the project site. Plans should be updated to ensure consistent and accurate tree information is provided between all of the sheets of the plan set.

Sr.	Public Works -	-Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code			
	Requirements 8			
	Standard Details			
A.6.	Street Dedication,	Street dedication is	No dedication	Non-compliant. The
	Setback & Lot	required along N.	proposed.	project does not
	Area/FAR	Rengstorff Avenue to		comply with
	Calculation	comply with Municipal		required street
		Code Section 27.59 &		dedication.
		Section 27.61.		

Street Dedication Comments: Consistent with the City adopted Alphabetical Street Right-of-Way List, the plans must be updated to include street dedication for the portion of land by the Rengstorff Avenue and Plymouth Street corner, where there is an irregular lot line condition, to create a continuous 90' right of way for Rengstorff Avenue consistent with Municipal Code Section. 27.59 & Section. 27.61. Be advised, dedication of the required right-of-way will reduce lot area, requiring multiple categories of project data to be corrected and affecting compliance/consistency determinations for setbacks, FAR and other development standards. Ensure all sheets are updated to reflect the changes/corrections. Building plan revisions may be required if any structures encroach into the corner visibility triangle, once it is applied to the revised property line location(s) per City standard details (per comment below).

A.7.	Site Visibility	° Pedestrian Sight	° Sight triangle	° Non-compliant.
	Triangles	Triangle (Driveway):	shown, but	The visibility
		Must be shown per	dimensions not	triangles are
		Public Works	provided.	incorrectly drawn
		Department Standard		and may have
		Detail A-22.		impermissible
				encroachments.
		° Corner Visibility		
		<i>Triangle</i> : Must be		
		shown per Public		
		Works Department		
		Standard Detail A-23.		

Sight Visibility Triangle Comments: The plans must be updated to accurately depict sight visibility triangles, including showing all required visibility triangle dimensions on all site plan sheets (including architectural, landscape and civil plan sheets). The sight triangles for the Plymouth Street driveway entrance are drawn incorrectly and must be corrected to show the required 25' measurement on both legs, starting from the back of the sidewalk and driveway edge. The corner visibility triangle is also drawn incorrectly. Update the plans to show the required corner visibility triangle by measuring thirty-five (35) feet back from the intersection of the front and street side property lines of a corner parcel and connecting the lines across the property, factoring in the required Rengstorff St. dedication and providing corner visibility triangle dimensions to allow staff to confirm compliance. The corner visibility triangle must be included on all site plans, including the landscape plans (where it is currently omitted).

The building and other site improvements (i.e. aboveground amenities/equipment) may need to be revised to comply with these standards once the visibility triangles are correctly shown in the plans. Structures within the sight triangles are not allowed, and other improvements may be constrained by required sight distances at project driveways and street corners (for corner lots) in accordance with Zoning Ordinance Section 36.34.10(m) and Public Works Department Standard Details A-22 and A-23, available online at:

https://www.mountainview.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/2612/638315807162300000

A.8.	Public Utility	Public Utility Easements	No PUE is provided.	Non-Compliant. The
	Easement(s)	(PUEs) shall be provided		project does not
		along any front, side or		comply with
		rear lot or across lots as		required PUEs.
		required by the Public		
		Works Director per		
		Municipal Code Section		
		28.9.05 and <u>Section</u>		
		28.9.15, where needed		
		for the installation,		
		operation and		

	T			
		maintenance of utilities		
		and utility accessories.		
	•	ments: Update the plans	•	
		oposed and relocated uti		
		ind <u>Section 28.9.15</u> . All ut		
phone b	oxes and CATV boxe	es along Plymouth St. will		to the 10' PUE.
A.9.	Onsite Loading &	All private loading areas,	A trash and loading	Non-Compliant. The
	Circulation	trash storage/staging	zone are proposed	project does not
		and associated	within the public	comply with
		circulation must be	right-of-way	regulations limiting
		shown and	(Plymouth St.)	use of public right-
		accommodated onsite in		of-way.
		a manner and location		
		that will not cause use		
		of public streets. Per-		
		Municipal Code Section.		
		36.32.75., Section 16.21.		
		and <u>21.21.</u>		
Onsite Lo	pading & Circulation	n Comments: Trash and lo	ading (as noted on pl	ans) on Plymouth St.
will not l	pe permitted. Plans	must be updated to show	v all operations onsite	e, and to remove the
loading b	pay and from Plymo	uth St. As part of circulati	ion, identify how nort	thern "Emergency
Exit" will	l be designed or con	trolled to restrict access	to vehicles. Trash mai	nagement plan and
1		ment design requirement	s are discussed in mo	re detail in
	ent City compliance			
A.10.		All solid waste staging	Solid Waste staging	•
	& Access (Site Plan)	and service vehicle	and access proposed	Proposed solid
		access must occur	on Plymouth Street.	waste plan staging
		onsite, without		and access are
		encroaching into the		inconsistent with
		public sidewalk or		requirements.
		street. No additional		
		curb cuts are allowed.		
Solid Wo	aste Staging: The c	urrent circulation plan ir	icludes public streets	as part of the trash
staging a	and circulation whic	h is not allowed. Trash sta	aging must be located	on site, providing for
onsite a	ccess though onsite	e areas (such as the park	king garage) without	encroaching into the
public si	dewalk and withou	it including additional cu	irb cuts. The staging	area must be a flat,
smooth	concrete surface a	and cannot have trunca	ited domes. Update	the plans to be in
compliar	nce with Municipal (Code Section 16.21 and 2	<u>1.21</u> .	
A.11.	Trash Management	° Trash Management	° Trash	Non-Compliant. The
	Plan	Plan requirements:	Management	project does not
			Plan:	comply with Trash
				Management Plan

– <i>Access</i> : Garbage	 Access: Includes requirements, per
truck access must	Plymouth St. more detailed
be provided on-site	e. conflicts. comments below.
– Trash Room Design	: – Trash Room
Plans must show	Design: Shows
container layouts	layouts with
and interior	inconsistent and
dimensions (not	incomplete or
including interior	missing
curbs or footings)	information.
per comments	– Chute Rooms/
below.	Vestibules: Two
- Chute Rooms/	chutes for trash
Vestibules: Three	and paper
separate chutes are	recycling in
required for trash,	Trash Rooms 1
paper recycling,	and 2 with
and container	container
recycling.	recycling.
– Staging Area-	– Staging Area:
Staging must be	Shown in public
located and	right-of-way.
accessible onsite,	- Service Levels:
without requiring	Per plan: 9-3yd
hauler to move bin	s trash bins, 6-
for collection.	3yd paper bins,
– <i>Service Levels</i> : The	- 8 -
following are the	container bins,
minimum trash	7- 96 gallon
service levels and	organic/
container sizing:	compost bins
12– 3yd trash bins	- Trash
(serviced 2x/wk) 5-	•
3yd paper bins	in a straight
(serviced 2x/wk) 5	-
3yd container bins	– Overhead
(serviced 1x/wk) 2	
2yd	trash
organics/compost	access/service is
bins (serviced	shown in non-

1x/wk)

compliant

	Trash Compactors:	exterior	
	Must be in a	location.	
	straight stab	Private/Public	
	position for garbage	Roles &	
	truck. Compactor	Responsibilities-	
	bins will not be	Property	
	moved by hauler.	management to	
	– Overhead	haul to staging	
	Clearance: 15' min.	area proposed	
	from finished grade	in non-	
	to structural	compliant	
	appurtenances	Plymouth St.	
	(e.g., cameras,	location.	
	sprinklers, etc.), for		
	travel ways. 22'		
	min. overhead		
	clearance in		
	collection areas.		
	Private Roles &		
	Responsibilities:		
	Property		
	maintenance staff		
	must bring trash		
	containers from the		
	trash room to the		
	private		
	property/onsite		
	staging area and		
	remove containers		
	promptly from the		
	staging area after		
	collection by the		
	City collection		
	company).		

Trash Management Plan Comments: The Trash Management Plan and applicable plan sheets must be updated to include information on and comply with the following City requirements:

(Access) The plan must include access information for onsite collection including a truck circulation with path of travel to/from trash enclosure, which does not include use of any public sidewalk or street. The truck path of travel must have a compliant inside turning radius of 34' and outside turning radius of no less than 41' (Turning Template for Garbage Truck).

Page 11

(Trash Room Design) The Trash Management Plan and project plans must show container layouts and include dimensions for all/any trash room(s) providing 1' between bins, interior curbs and walls; 6' aisle way to roll bins around each other; and 6' min. wide trash room doors (exclusive of any interior curbs or footings). If there is no interior berm or curb, the trash room shall have bumpers on the walls to avoid damage from bins hitting it.

https://www.mountainview.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/2862/637967924025200000

(Chute Rooms/Vestibules) The resident trash chute vestibules must have three separate chutes for trash, paper recycling and container recycling, respectively. Compost (food scraps and food soiled paper) collection is also required for residents. Plans only show 2 chutes (trash and paper recycling) in trash room #1/#2 with container recycling and organics collected separately in 96 gal carts. This set up will not work. The proposed set-up would require a total of 26 carts for container recycling and 26 carts for organics (one per floor for 2-14th floors and two rooms, trash room #1/#2). The number of carts is extremely labor intensive as it would require transporting the carts to be staged on the staging area each week for service and the staging area would have to fit 52 container recycling and organics carts. Update the plans to show 3 chutes in each room for trash, paper, and container recycling with small receptacles (e.g, 23gal slim jims) for organics/compost collection. Maintenance staff shall transport chute vestibule compost material to central collection container located in residential trash room.

https://library.municode.com/ca/mountain_view/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIITHC O_CH16GARUWE_ARTVIMAORWADIRE

(Staging Area) The trash staging area is not an adequate size to fit all the bins required per City service levels, on a given haul/pick-up day. Plans must be updated to provide complete staging area dimensions and show adequate space for placement of all bins in such a way that a driver does not have to move other bins in order to access the ones they are collecting. Garage entrances or exits may not be used as a pathway for bin travel to the collection vehicle.

(Service Levels) The number of bins and the size of bins provided will not allow for adequate solid waste services, and do not comply with the following requirements: 12 – 3yd trash bins, 5 – 3yd paper bins, 5 – 3yd container bins, and 2 – 2yd organics/compost bins. Update plans to address these requirements.

https://library.municode.com/ca/mountain_view/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIITHC O_CH16GARUWE_ARTIINGE_S16.11SAUMRE

(Trash Compactors Bins) Update the plans with compactors and compactor bin layouts in a location that allows for a straight stab for garbage trucks. The City collection (hauling) company will not move the trash compactor bins.

(Overhead Clearance) Ensure the required overhead clearance is provided, once plans have been updated with a compliant onsite staging area. The off-site staging area (as currently shown) is not allowed.

https://www.mountainview.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/7358/638315993242230000

(Private/Public Roles & Responsibilities) Update the plans to provide a compliant onsite staging area and service circulation fully within the project site. The current proposal for onsite management to wheel bins to a staging area on Plymouth Street is not allowed. Once a compliant staging area is shown, the Trash Management Plan should show property management wheeling the bins to the designate pick-up area, including provision of additional information on the type of equipment that will be used to transport the bins and the path of travel. Additional compliance comments may be provided once and updated and clarified service proposal is identified.

Please be advised, the requirements above encompass City requirements and requirements from the solid waste private hauling company that would service the site.

A.12.	Public Bike/Bus	A 6' bike lane with green	Class IV Bike Lane	Non- compliant. The
	Improvements	bike markings along the	per Sheet C2.0	project does not
		project frontage and		comply with City
		proper treatment of the		bike improvement
		bus stop along the		requirements and
		project frontage is		VTA Facility Design
		required per the City's		Criteria and
		Bicycle Transportation		Standards.
		Plan and <u>VTA Bus Stop</u>		
		Standards (Figure 7.8d).		

Public Bike & Bus Improvement Comments: Installation of a Class IV Bike Lane is not required as shown in the City's Bicycle Transportation Plan. In lieu of the proposal, update the plans with proper treatment and integration of a 6' bike lane and VTA bus stop along the project frontage. The current improvement width displayed on Sheet C2.0 is 14 feet to the face of curb from the inboard edge of the bike lane; with the two-foot bollard area, the project has a combined improvement width of 16'. Plans must be revised to maintain the 6' bike lane, add green bike markings and provide a 10' bus island. Bike lane bollards will not be required in the area where the bus island provides protection. Ensure appropriate markings and truncated domes are provided for people with disabilities at the approach and departure of the bus island and sidewalk. Refer to VTA Bus Stop & Passenger Facility Design Criteria and Standards, Figure 7.8d with the following as allowed (with curb-curb widths): 2'-3' buffer, 8'-10' bus island, 6' bike lane. (https://www.vta.org/sites/default/files/2022-

<u>03/Bus%20Stop%20and%20Facility%20Criteria%20and%20Standard%202021.pdf#page=100</u>)

A.13. Public Right-of-	No private project	° Public Right of	Non-Compliant.
Way	improvements may	Way	Proposed
Improvements	encroach into the	Improvements:	improvements do
	public right-of-way,		not comply with
	and public right-of-	 City Standard 	identified City
	way improvements	Curb Gutter and	d standard

		Datachad
	must be consistent	Detached improvement
	with Municipal Code	Sidewalks: The requirements, and
	Section. 27.57, City	plans do not State/Federal
	Standard Details and	comply with improvement
	other State/Federal	<u>City Standard</u> requirements.
	Regulations including:	Details A-1, A-6,
		A-8 and A-9.
	 City Standard Curb, 	
	Gutter and	– ADA Access
	Detached	Ramps: Plans do
	Sidewalks: Required	not show the
	to be constructed	required ramps.
	per <u>City Standard</u>	·
	<u>Details</u> A-1, A-6, A-8	- Red Curb(s):
	and A-9, as detailed	Plans do not
	in the comments	show required
	below.	red curbs.
	- ADA Access Ramps-	
	All new curb ramps	– Public Right of
	•	Way Sign(s):
	must comply with	"No Parking"
	the Americans with	_
	Disabilities Act	signs are not
	(ADA) requirements	shown on the
	and City	plans.
	Improvement Plans,	2.4%
	per comments	- Public
	below.	Crosswalk(s):
	Red Curb(s): Project	Compliant
	frontages must	details for the
	provide required	crosswalk are
	red curbs as	not provided.
	required for life	
	safety, per	– Egress Safety
	comments below.	Controls: Plans
	– Public Right of Way	do not call out
	Sign(s): "No	or show
	Parking" signs are	required
	required along the	measures.
	Rengstorff Avenue	
	frontage for life	– <i>Lighting</i> : Plans
	safety and to	do not provide
	remove conflict	required
	Terriove confinet	. 345 35

data tada a	nhata	
with bike	photometric	
improvements.	analysis to	
- Public Crosswalk(s):	determine	
Convert Plymouth	compliance.	
Street and		
Rengstorff Avenue	- <i>Utilities</i> : Plans	
crossing to a high-	do not comply	
visibility	with several	
thermoplastic	different utility-	
ladder crosswalk	related City	
with advanced stop	standard details	
bars and applicable	and	
signs per Caltrans	requirements.	
Standard and		
California Manual		
on Uniform Traffic		
Control Devices.		
See comment		
below.		
Egress Safety		
Controls: For life		
safety, egress		
points to public		
streets or public		
easements shall be		
STOP-controlled.		
See comments		
below.		
_ Lighting:		
Photometric plans		
are required to		
determine if		
minimum (safe)		
lighting levels are		
met for public		
improvements,		
including public		
crosswalks.		
- Utilities & Grading:		
Sewer lateral(s)		
from the site shall		
be installed with		

	property line
	cleanout(s) or
	manhole(s). City of
	Mountain View
	Standard Design
	Criteria Section 4.9
	and 5.5. All utilities
	to the site shall
	maintain City
	standard clearance
	from trees, 5' for
	water and 10' for
	sewer. <u>Section.</u>
	36.34.10.(h) of the
	Zoning Ordinance.
	- Construction
	Damage: All
	striping damage
	from construction
	and pavement work
	shall be replaced
	with thermoplastic
	striping to the
	satisfaction of the
	City Traffic
	Engineer.
Dublic Diabt of Many Incomession	ent Comments. Duringt plans (a) havital materials mount be

Public Right-of-Way Improvement Comments: Project plans/submittal materials must be updated or additional materials provided to the City to show compliance with:

(City Standard Curb, Gutter and Detached Sidewalk Details) Along the Rengstorff Ave., plans must show a 7' sidewalk and 6' landscape strips (5.5' landscape strip and 0.5' curb). Along Plymouth St., plans must show a 6' sidewalk and 5' landscape strip (4.5' landscape strip and 0.5' curb). The loading trash/loading bay within Plymouth St. will not be permitted by the City. All project trash and loading needs must be satisfied onsite, with a straightened curb alignment required along Plymouth St. Existing and proposed utility boxes are not allowed to be in the sidewalk, and landscape strips shall be sloped at 2% towards the street. No treatment planters are required in public landscape strips. Update plans per above comments; see City Standard Details A-1, A-6, A-8 & A-9 for further reference.

https://www.mountainview.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/2612/638315807162300000

(ADA Access Ramps) All new access ramps must comply with ADA requirements, and existing non-conforming access ramps must be reconstructed to comply with current ADA requirements. Plans must be updated, at minimum to provide:

- Curb extensions (bulb-outs) at the Plymouth St./Rengstorff Ave. and Leghorn St./ Rengstorff Ave. intersections. The radii shall be reduced to a minimum that allows turning paths for fire vehicles and garbage trucks per City standard turning templates for Mountain View Fire Trucks and Garbage Trucks to decrease pedestrian crossing distances. Additionally, while detached landscape strips may provide sufficient area to treat off-site hardscape disturbed by the project (per C3 requirements), the bulb-outs may allow an opportunity for increased green infrastructure (bioswales and/or plantings).
- Directional curb ramps at the Plymouth St./Rengstorff Ave. and Leghorn St./N. Rengstorff
 Ave. intersections to prevent visually impaired persons from walking into the center of the
 intersection. See Caltrans Detail A88A, to incorporate within the bulb-out design.
- Curb ramps shall only be placed at crosswalks and shall not be placed for any other purpose than pedestrian usage. Curb ramps located away from crosswalks can lead to unsafe crossings for visually impaired persons. The curb ramp on Plymouth Ave. is not allowed.

(Red Curb(s)) Plans must be updated to show red curbs:

- Adjacent to public crosswalks: Add a red zone on Plymouth Street (north side) from the stop bar at Rengstorff Ave. to 20 ft easterly.
- Adjacent to driveway entrances: Add a red zone a minimum of 10' in each direction along the curb line, from the driveway, or as determined and approved by the City Traffic Engineer.
- Between project and nearby adjacent driveways: Add a red zone for the entire curb between the project's Plymouth St. driveway and Arietta Drive (directly to the east).

(Public Right of Way Sign(s)) Update plans to identify "no-parking" signs along the entire Rengstorff Ave. frontage to remove conflicts with bike improvements.

(Public Crosswalks) Consistent with Caltrans standard (A24F) and CA MUTCD, convert existing crosswalk at the Plymouth Street and Rengstorff Avenue crossing to a high-visibility thermoplastic ladder crosswalk with advanced stop bars and applicable signs to the satisfaction of the City Traffic Engineer. Design dimensions will need to be reviewed by the City. https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/design/documents/locked-2023-std-plans-dor-a11y.pdf

(Lighting) A photometric analysis of the offsite lighting locations is needed to determine compliant lighting conditions, as determined by the City Traffic Engineer. The analysis must show all existing or proposed (new) streetlights (show height, arm length, and location) and shall calculate the minimum, maximum, average illuminance values, as well as uniformity ratios for each crosswalk (shown separately). The project plans must show and will be required to install new or modified streetlights to ensure locations are compliant with minimum

requirements per the City's latest standard details (see City Standard Detail E-1A/E-1B). Existing streetlights shall also be replaced, not only relocated, into the public planter strip. A new streetlight may be required to meet the minimum requirements.

(Egress Safety Controls) Plans must be updated to show all egress points to public streets or public easements as STOP-controlled, with proper signage and markings in order to control conflict points with pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles as they enter a public roadway and improve safety.

(Utilities) Update project plans to show compliant utility alignments with required public improvements including:

- Private Storm drain lines are not allowed to run latitudinally underneath the sidewalk and all private facilities must be accommodated onsite (within private property). Remove the proposed storm line and inlets in the public right-of-way.
- Runoff from the site shall be directed to the City storm water system and will not be permitted to sheet flow across sidewalks or driveways. No thru-the-curb drains will be permitted. Storm drain laterals from the site shall be installed with a property line inlet or manhole and connect to existing storm drain manholes or curb inlets, if at all possible. Drainage from the parking garage shall be directed to the sanitary sewer system. See Municipal Code Section 35.32.3. Discharge to curbside gutter, storm sewer, storm drain or natural outlets.
- Sanitary sewer lateral(s) from the site shall be installed with property line cleanout(s) or manhole(s). The proposed 8" sanitary sewer lateral will require a manhole to be installed instead of the proposed clean out. See City of Mountain View Standard Design Criteria Section 4.9 and 5.5.
- All site utilities shall maintain City standard clearance from trees, including 5' separation for water lines and 10' separation for sewer lines. As shown on Preliminary Composite Utility Plan, the proposed sewer lateral does not have the necessary clearance from trees. See City of Mountain View SEC. 36.34.10. - General landscaping standards.
- Water connections shall not be designed to connect to the City's 14" transmission water main and must instead connect to the 8" Water main instead. See As-Built 5589 for information.

A.14.	Public Right-of-	Building or building	Project plans show	Non-Compliant. The
	Way	related features are not	an awning	project does not
	Encroachments	allowed to encroach	encroaching into	comply with
		into public right of way.	public right of way	restrictions on
		, ,	and potential	encroachments of
			conflicts from onsite	private
			restricted access	improvements/servi
			improvements.	ces into the public
				right-of-way.

Public Right-Of-Way Encroachment Comments: In addition to other comments regarding unpermitted use of public right-of-way for private project trash service and loading use, plans need to be updated to minimize/avoid conflicts, provide safe operations, reduce impacts and otherwise remove encroachments into public street parking, pedestrian loading and freight/delivery/service circulation areas, per Municipal Code Section 36.32.75(c)(3). Specific items that need to be addressed in project plans or other submittal materials, include:

- Identify how the northerly "Emergency Exit" will be designed or controlled to allow its
 intended use and restrict vehicle access. Regular vehicle access from this emergency exit is
 not permitted per the project scope.
- Any gates that impede onsite delivery, mail, trash collection, passenger vehicle pickup/access and other vehicle circulation shall allow for such uses to occur fully onsite without encroachment into the public right-of-way.
- A minimum 20' throat length, measured from the public sidewalk along Plymouth is required with no parking allowed within this space. Any gates beyond this clear throat length area shall open inward or upward only.
- A building awning at the corner of Rengstorff Ave./Plymouth St. overhangs the new public sidewalk and is not allowed. Relocate or remove the metal awnings or otherwise revise the building design to remove any sidewalk overhang encroachment.

Sr.	Building Division –	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code			
	Requirements			
A.15.	Installations	Natural gas shall not be allowed, per Municipal Code Sections 8.20.8 – 8.20.10	include natural gas- fueled fire pit and outdoor kitchen fixtures.	Non-compliant. The plans do not comply with green building code requirements for all-electric installations.
A.16.	Parking	 Accessible Parking Spaces: Provide accessible parking spaces based on assigned or un- assigned per California Building Code (CBC) Sections 1109A.4. and 1109.5. EV Charging Spaces (EVCS): 15% of the total number of parking spaces shall be provided with 	identify four (4) accessible parking spaces. ° EVCS: No EV charging spaces are identified.	The plans do not comply with EV

Level 2 chargers, with	
at least one Level 2	
charger in the	
common area	
parking. One Level 3	
charger is required	
per 100 parking	
spaces.	

Parking Comments: (Accessible Parking Spaces) Provide a table showing the total number of parking spaces and the number/type of accessible parking spaces based on the proposed assigned/unassigned spaces in the project, per CBC Sections 1109A.4. and 1109.5. This information is necessary to determine compliance. Code compliance may require substantial design changes and/or impact other City compliance comments.

(EVCS Parking) No EVCS parking spaces are specified in the plans. The plans must be updated to show compliant EVCS parking spaces (including the location and type of each EVCS and associated equipment), which will also allow compliance review of other parking and layout requirements. Ensure the parking table is updated to reflect the requirements of the Municipal Code Section 8.20.32.

A.17.	Accessible	Accessible Circulation in	Information per	Non-compliant.
	Circulation (Parking	compliance with 2022	building code	Plans do not comply
	Level)	CBC 11B-250, requires	requirements not	with accessible
		pedestrian paths to be	shown on plans	circulation
		raised access paths in		standards.
		the structured/surface		
		parking area(s).		

Accessible Circulation Comments: Plans need to be updated to show compliant circulation per 2022 CBC 11B-250. Compliance with this standard is connected to the locations of compliant accessible parking spaces; these compliance items will have a cumulative effect on the design of the proposed parking layout, which could have substantial impacts on the overall project design and other compliance items in the ground-level floor/site plan.

Sr.	Fire Department –	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code			
	Requirements			
A.18.	,	required to be spaced	spacing exceeding 300 feet apart.	Non-Compliant. Plans do not comply with fire hydrant spacing requirements.
		are also outlined in the		

1 460 20				
		"Public Works Standard		
		Design Criteria".		
1	•	date the plans to show co		• •
1		300' spacing, requiring ne		-
I -		lar, the new fire hydrant		-
	•	nydrant. Plan updates to	•	• •
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the project design, such a		mprovement and
	Fire Hose Valves	d in other compliance co		Non compliant
A.19.	rire nose vaives	All building areas are	The locations of fire hose valves were	•
		•		Plans do not comply
		150' travel distance of a		with fire hose valve
		fire hose valve.	current layout of the stairs would require	requirements.
		At least two fire hose	additional hose	
		valves shall be provided	valves to meet the	
		to cover the parking	150' spacing.	
		garage/car stacker area		
		per Municipal Code		
		Section. 14.10.35		
Fire Hose	Valve Comments:	Jpdate the plans to show	compliant fire hose v	valve locations. The
current l	ayout of the stairs v	vould require additional h	nose valves to meetin	g the 150' spacing
requiren	nents. Plan updates	to address this requirem	ent may require chan	ges to other aspects
to the pr	oject design.			
A.20.	Dead End Corridors	Dead end corridor	The area near	Non-Compliant.
		conditions are not	Elevator #2	Plans do not comply
		permitted per CBC	(accessing the	with dead-end
		1020.5.	mechanical room)	corridor
			creates a dead-end	requirements.
			condition exceeding	
			50' in length.	
Dead En	d Corridor Comment	ts: Adjust the layout to ac	ldress the excessive d	ead-end corridor
conditio	n in the Southwest o	corner of the building, ne	ar Elevator #2. Plan u _l	pdates to address
this requ	irement may requir	e changes to other aspec	ts to the project desig	gn.
A.21.	Fire Service Access	Two fire service	Elevators are shown	Non-compliant.
	Elevators &	elevators are required in		
	Elevator Lobbies	accordance with CBC	unclear which two	compliance with fire
		Section 403.6.1, with	elevator and lobbies	
		lobbies in compliance	will comply with the	
		with CBC Section 3007.6		elevator lobby
			and fire code.	requirements.
		Plans must clearly show		
		the required elevator		

lobbies and designate	
which two elevators will	
serve as Fire Service	
Access Elevators. (CBC	
Section 403.6.1, Section	
3007, Section 3002.4,	
and Section 3007.6).	

Elevator Lobbies Comment: (Fire Service Access Elevators) Update plans to clearly identify the two required fire service elevators in accordance with CBC Section 403.6.1, in compliance with CBC Section 3007 and 3002.4 and with lobbies in compliance with CBC Section 3007.6.

(*Elevator Lobbies*) In addition to specific requirements for fire service elevator lobbies, plans must be updated to clearly show required enclosed lobbies for all elevators, with enclosed lobbies in accordance with CBC Section 403.6.

A.22.	Fire Department	FDCs must be within	FDC is placed behind	Non-Compliant.
	Connection (FDC)	100' of a hydrant with	the VTA bus stop on	
		no obstructions to Fire	Rengstorff Ave.	
		Department access.		

FDC Comments: Plans must be updated to provide a compliant FDC location. The proposed FDC is placed behind the bus stop and must be relocated to be always accessible. Plan updates to address this requirement may require changes to other aspects to the project design.

Sr.	Housing	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Department			
	Requirements			
A.23.	SB 330 Replacement Unit Requirement(s) & Affordable Housing	Any project requiring the demolition of occupied or vacant protected unit must follow the replacement unit of SB 330, related protections for existing tenants and/or the City's locally adopted ordinance. A complete and accurate Affordable Housing Compliance Plan worksheet must be	Floor plans and Affordable Housing Compliance Plan do not identify the required replacement unit.	Non-Compliant. The project does not comply with replacement unit requirements and must provide an updated Affordable Housing Compliance Plan and materials identifying the required replacement unit(s).
		provided.		

Affordable Housing Compliance Comments: In August 2023, a Housing Compliance Plan was provided identifying affordability (AMI) information for the proposed BMR units and a floor

- 0 -					
plan iden	ntifying each unit's l	oc	ation. These materials n	nust be updated to i	nclude all required
BMR unit	ts inclusive of the re	pl	acement unit to be prov	vided at 59% AMI.	
A.24.	Tenant Relocation	o	Relocation Assistance	None of these	Non-Compliant. The
	Assistance		Consultant:	requirements have	applicant has not
	Ordinance (TRAO)		Developer must enter	been completed.	complied with TRAO
	Requirements		into an agreement		requirements.
			with the City to fund		
			the relocation		
			consultant.		
		o	NOI: Developer must		
			provide existing		
			tenants with a Notice		
			of Intent, to inform		
			them about the		
			pending		
			redevelopment		
			application.		
		o	Escrow Account:		
			Applicant must		
			submit funds into an		
			escrow account for		
			the estimated		
			relocation assistance		
			payments, as		
			determined by the		
			City/City consultant		
			per the City's Tenant		
			Relocation and		
			Assistance		
			Ordinance.		
		o	Tenant Relocation		
			Assistance Filing: A		
			summary		
			memorandum of		
			tenant relocation		
			requirements must		
			be recorded with the		
			County Recorder		
			when a unit is		
			withdrawn under the		
			Ellis Act.		

Forestry Division - . Code	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
Requirements			
Code Requirements Arborist Report	Arborist Report Timing: The City requires submitted arborist reports to be written within the last 18 months. Development Impacts Analysis: In addition to an inventory of existing tree health, an arborist report must reference and evaluate impacts based on the current development plans, including a table listing trees slated for removal, reasons for removal and tree mitigation requirements (including replacement trees and transplantation options) for all	o Arborist Report Timing: The submitted Arborist Report is more than four years old. o Development Impacts Analysis: The submitted Arborist Report was written as a tree inventory report rather than noting tree health, evaluating impacts from the	o Arborist Report Timing: Non- Compliant. o Development Impacts Analysis: Non-Compliant. Report does not measure impacts based on current development. o Tree Protection: Non-Compliant. Report does not comply with tree protection requirements.
	project trees (incl. Heritage and non- Heritage trees).	a previous development proposal.	
	Protection: Tree Protection: Tree Protection Zone Fencing and Warning Signs placed on each TPZ fence are required per the Mountain View Tree Technical Manual,	o Tree Protection: The proposed tree protection measures are boiler points measures, which do not provide the required	
		Fencing and Warning Signs placed on each TPZ fence are required per the Mountain View Tree	Fencing and Warning Signs placed on each TPZ fence are required per the Mountain View Tree Technical Manual, tree protection measures are boiler points measures, which do not provide the required

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175

Page 24

Construction and	the Mountain	
5.10.1 Tree	View Tree	
Protection	Technical Manual.	
Installation.		

Arborist Report Comments: (Arborist Report Timing and Development Impacts Analysis) Provide a recent arborist report, from the last 18 months, and update the report to include additional information/evaluation of tree impacts based on the current development plans rather than a basic tree inventory. The report must include a table listing the specific trees slated for removal and replacement tree mitigation requirements for the removal of both Heritage and non-Heritage trees. Please note the report needs specific updates to:

- Define potential impacts to tree numbers 8 71 and how best to protect trees during development.
- Ensure accurate DBH measurements (nearly all of the Italian Cypress trees are listed at 12inch DBH).
- Define "Impacted by new development" for trees #79 99, 101 122, and 128 133. What particular aspect of the development creates the impacts, and does this mean the trees should be removed? The report should list specific impacts from the project for each tree and provide distances between the tree and conflicting improvements to allow City staff to better analyze opportunities for tree preservation as part of the project and pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 32, Article II (Protection of the Urban Forest).
- Pursuant to <u>Section 32.39</u> of the Zoning Ordinance. Include the overall valuation of the tree to be remove and highlight how the replacement trees are of equal value.

(Tree Protection) Update the Arborist to include specific requirements from the Mountain View Tree Technical Manual, Section 5.10 (Pre-Construction) and 5.10.1 (Tree Protection Installation), adding pertinent measures to project site/landscape plans. Specifically, TPZs must be added around off-site trees 72 -78 and all other trees within 10x DBH of impacts.

https://www.mountainview.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/3898/637974641051670000

Respond in writing to each comment by marking this comment list or by providing a separate letter. Indicate which detail, plan, specification, or calculation shows the required information by use of 1) corresponding revision numbers and 2) bubble or highlights for easy reference.

B. Applicable, objective standards that may affect the project design.

The project may be inconsistent with the following objective development standards and/or code requirements, which must be addressed before the project receives building permits, should the City approve the project. Although the applicant is not required to address each of these comments during the entitlement phase, City staff is raising these future compliance issues for the applicant's information as they may substantially impact the project design.

Sr.	Public Works – Code	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Requirements &			
	Standard Details			
B.1.	Utility Design –	Conceptual Utility Plans	Utility plans omits	Compliance could
	Existing Connections	must show all existing	location and status	not be determined.
		and proposed utility	label for existing	Additional
		services (sewer, water,	services.	information is
		storm, gas, and electric)		necessary.
		and their connections to		
		City mains and label if		
		they are to be		
		abandoned or reused.		

Utility Design Comments: Plans must be updated to show the location of all existing services and label existing utility services to be abandoned or reused. All existing water and sewer services are to be abandoned per City standards. City staff cannot determine if the proposed utility plan complies with City utility regulations without additional plan information.

Sr.	Building Division –	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code Requirements			
B.2.	Egress Plans	Per California Building	Information not	Compliance could
	(Occupant Loads &	Code, egress	included in plans.	not be determined.
	Exiting)	requirements are based		Additional
		on occupant loads for		information is
		the usable space on the		necessary.
		podium at the second		
		floor and the outdoor		
		area on the roof.		

Egress Plan Comments: Egress plans must be updated to include occupant loads and compliant exiting for the usable space on the second-floor podium and the outdoor area on the roof. The City cannot determine compliance with these Code requirements without this information, which could require substantial change to the project description/design once provided.

Sr.	Fire Department –	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code Requirements			

В.3.	Standby &	State the proposed	Information not	Compliance could
	Emergency Power	source for Standby and		not be determined.
	,	Emergency Power in	· ·	Additional
		accordance with CBC		information is
		Section 403.4.8. Show		necessary.
		the proposed locations		,
		for the equipment and		
		the fire ratings of the		
		rooms.		
Standk	ov & Emergency Powe	r Comments: Plans must I	ne updated to state th	ne proposed sources
	, ,	Power, in accordance wit	•	• •
		quipment, including fire		
F -		result from compliance v		
	Fire-Rated Walls	Fire rated walls are	•	Compliance could
J.4.	The Nated Walls			not be determined.
		separation and	!	Additional
		protection per CBC		information is
		Chapters 4, 5, 7, and 10.		necessary.
D E	Stair Designs	All stairs are to be		Compliance could
Б.Э.	Stail Designs	constructed as		not be determined.
				Additional
		'	! '	
		as required by CBC		information is
		Section 403.5.4. They		necessary.
		are to be constructed in		
		accordance with CBC		
		Section 909.20 and are		
		to be accessed by		
		means of a vestibule in		
		accordance with CBC		
		Section 1023.12.2.		
		late plans to demonstrate		
		structed in accordance w		
access	ed by means of a vest	ibule in accordance with	CBC Section 1023.12.	2.
B.6.	Seismic Design	Depending on the		Compliance could
		Seismic Design		not be determined.
		Category, a secondary	plans.	Additional
		water supply is required		information is
		per CBC Section 403.3.3		necessary.
Seismi	c Design Comments: L	Ipdate the plans/submitt	al to clarify the assign	ed Seismic Design

Seismic Design Comments: Update the plans/submittal to clarify the assigned Seismic Design Category for the site in accordance with CBC Section 1613. If the site is assigned to Category C, D, E or F, provide a secondary water supply as required by CBC Section 403.3.3 and identify the

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175 Page 27

propo	proposed location of the secondary water supply. This may cause changes to the project				
design	, impacting other com	pliance determinations.			
В.7.	Fire Pump/Fire Pump	A fire pump is required	Information not	Compliance could	
	Room	for the site/building.	provided.	not be determined.	
		Show the location of the		Additional	
		dedicated fire pump		information is	
		room and show the two		necessary.	
		sources of water for the			
fire pump. (CBC Section					
		403.3.2 and 403.3.3).			

Fire Pump/Fire Pump Room Comments: Update plans to show the required fire pump room, the two sources of water (separate connections) for the fire pump per CBC Sections 403.3.2 and 403.3.3. The second connection to the water supply should come off Plymouth Street. Compliance with this requirement may require other design changes and impact compliance determinations on items such as utility design.

Respond in writing to each comment by marking this comment list or by providing a separate letter. Indicate which detail, plan, specification, or calculation shows the required information by use of 1) corresponding revision numbers and 2) bubble or highlights for easy reference.

C. Potential inconsistencies/non-conformities.

The project may be inconsistent with the following development standards and/or code requirements, which may need to be addressed by the project but require additional, clarified or corrected information to determine the degree of non-compliance.

	ADDITIONAL, CLARIFIED AND/OR CORRECTED PROJECT INFORMATION IS NEED TO				
DETER	RMINE PROJECT CONS	ISTENCY WITH THE FOLLO	OWING CODES AND I		
Sr.	Development	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance	
No.	Standard –				
	Zoning Ordinance				
C.1.	Roof Equipment	Roof screens shall be	Parapet is (8'-6" to	Compliance could	
	Screening	the minimum necessary	18'-6") and	not be determined.	
		height to fully screen	functions as rooftop	Additional	
		rooftop equipment.	equipment screen.	information is	
				necessary.	
Roof E	Equipment Screening C	<i>comments:</i> The roof plan (Sheet A3.4) shows a	parapet that ranges	
in hei	ght (8'-6" to 18'-6") an	d would also act as mech	anical equipment scr	eening. Compliance	
with t	he requirements for fu	ıll screening of rooftop ed	quipment cannot be o	determined, as	
	•	tions were not provided		•	
versus	s parapet heights. Prov	vide all rooftop equipmen	t specifications to all	ow the City to	
deterr	mine if the project con	pplies with <u>Section 36.08.</u>	30(e) of the Zoning O	<u>rdinance</u> .	
C.2.	Other Standards	- Exterior storage must	Information not	Compliance could	
		be screened.	included.	not be determined.	
		- All trash containers		Additional	
		must be within an		information is	
		opaque enclosure.		necessary.	
C.3.	Water Conservation	° Demonstration of	° Demonstration of	° Demonstration of	
	in Landscaping	Landscape Water	Landscape Water	Landscape Water	
	Regulations	Efficiency: Projects	Efficiency:	Efficiency:	
		must demonstrate	Applicant elected	Compliance could	
		consistency through	to use the water	not be	
		either the Plant-Type	budget option.	determined due	
		Restriction or Water		to water budget	
		Budget Option.	° Drought Tolerant	calculation errors.	
			Design: Plans	Corrected	
		° Drought-Tolerant	identify WUCOLS	information is	
		Design: Drought-	category as "low"	. necessary.	
		tolerant design and			
		native species are	° <i>Irrigation</i> : Plans	° Drought Tolerant	
		required, per the	do not clearly	Design:	
		Water Conservation	show a compliant	Compliance could	

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175

Page 29

in Land	scaping	separate		not be
Regulat	tions and	landscape water		determined.
Zoning	Ordinance	service(s),		Additional
Section	36.34.10 (f).	meter(s) and		information is
		backflow		necessary.
Irrigation:	A separate	preventor(s) nor		
landscape	water meter	the pipe size,	0	Irrigation:
service(s),	meter(s), and	meter sizes and		Compliance could
backflow _l	preventor(s)	pipe materials.		not be
are requir	ed per <u>Water</u>			determined.
Conservat	ion Landscape			Additional
Regulation	<u>ns</u> .			information is
				necessary.

Water Conservation in Landscaping Comments: (Demonstration of Landscape Water Efficiency)
The submitted water budget contains errors, which mean staff cannot determine if the project complies with applicable Water Conservation in Landscaping Regulations. Specific errors identified by staff include:

- Landscape area calculations in the water budget differ from the landscape data provided on the project cover sheet (data table).
- Water budget does not identify any high-water-use areas, including water features such as pools or spas; however, the landscape plans include a lap pool in the south courtyard.
- No landscape/improvements information is provided for the 10th Floor atrium garden(s).
- No landscape/improvement information is provided for 15th Floor outdoor amenity areas.

Updated plans and/or water budget calculations are needed to provide correctly calculated landscape water efficiency, allowing the City to confirm compliance with these regulations. Staff advises using the forms available on the City website to provide the required/updated information, which can be copied into the plans. See https://www.mountainview.gov/our-city/departments/community-development/planning/forms-fees.

(Drought-Tolerant Design) The landscape plans do not identify if proposed plant species are considered drought tolerant and/or California Native. The landscape plans need to be updated to highlight if the proposed species are drought tolerant and/or California Native to allow staff to confirm compliance with Zoning Ordinance Section 36.34.10 (f). The City has a standard condition of approval establishing a goal of 75% California Native species.

(Irrigation): Update plans to provide the following information to ensure compliance with irrigation requirements and consistency with the current development plans: a separate landscape water service(s), water meter(s) and irrigation backflow preventor(s). Irrigation plans must also show the water main (location/size) and connections, matching the same information provided in project civil drawings (see separate comments). Staff notes the irrigation plans identify (greyed-out) locations for aboveground equipment, which appear inconsistent with the proposed development given notations about BFP installation in a

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175 Page 30

basement as the project plans do not identify any subterranean levels. Additionally, the project proposes a 1" irrigation service line, but does not provide information on the size of the BFP, which must match the water meter and service line size.

Sr.	Fire Department –	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
No.	Code Requirements			
C.4	Occupancy Type and	Fire Code requirements	Information not	Compliance could
	related standards	for project egress are	included in plans.	not be determined.
		associated with		Additional
		occupancy type,		information is
		occupant load factor		necessary.
		and total occupant load		
		for each space.		

Occupancy Type-related Comments: Update Sheets A0.1 – A0.4 to show the areas, occupancy type(s), occupant load factor and total occupant load for each space, to allow City staff to determine if the project complies with applicable Fire Code requirements. In addition, updated plans/egress diagrams must show required exit widths, travel distances, common path(s) of egress and exit signage for all floors, in accordance with CBC Sections 1004, 1005, 1016, 1017 and 1028. Significant design changes may be required or result from compliance with these requirements.

Respond in writing to each comment by marking this comment list or by providing a separate letter. Indicate which detail, plan, specification, or calculation shows the required information by use of 1) corresponding revision numbers and 2) bubble or highlights for easy reference.

D. Other Inconsistencies.

As required by statute, the City has also determined the project is inconsistent, non-compliant and otherwise not in conformity with the following objectives standards, ordinances and policies which are not required to become compliant, but the City encourages the applicant to attempt to address some inconsistencies to bring the project into better compliance with the identified objective development standards:

	THE PROJECT IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE FOLLOWING CODES AND REGULATIONS:				
Sr.	Development	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance	
No.	Standard – R3-2cd				
	Zoning District		, .		
D.1.	Density	R3-2 Zoning (portion of		Non-compliant. The	
		site):	units)	proposed density	
		10.7 DU/ac (13.85 units		and unit count	
		max.)		exceeds the	
				maximum allowed	
		General Plan Density:		per the R3-2sd	
		13-25 DU/ac		zoning district and	
				General Plan for the	
		General Industrial/MM		portion of the site	
		zoning district portion of		where the General	
		site does not allow		Plan/Zoning allows	
		residential use at any		residential use.	
		density.			
D.2.	Max Floor Area	1.05 FAR (R3-2sd	9.92 FAR	Non-compliant. The	
	Ratio (FAR)	zoning)		proposed FAR	
		No residential FAR		exceeds allowed	
		allowed under MM		residential FAR.	
		zoning (Industrial		Project density may	
		Parcel).		be preserved while	
				modifying the plans	
				for greater	
				compliance with FAR	
				and other applicable	
				standards such as	
				setbacks, personal	
				storage, bike	
				parking, and open	
				space through more	
				compact building	
				design and/or	

Г	age	32

				different allocation of floor area.
D 2	Frant Cathagles	15 ft outlook sight of	7'	
D.3.	Front Setbacks	15 ft. or the height of	/	Non-compliant. The
	(Rengstorff Ave	the adjacent building		proposed setback
	West)	wall of the subject		does not comply
		parcel as measured to		with the required
		the top of the wall plate,		setback. Additional
		whichever is greater.		non-compliance may
				result from property
				line corrections to
				show required
				dedications along
				Rengstorff Ave.,
				which should be
				addressed to
				provide for
				pedestrian comfort
				through additional
				onsite landscaping,
				along with the
				required compliant
				public right-of-way
				improvements.
D.4.	Interior Side	15 ft. or the height of	11'	Non-compliant. The
	Setback (North)	the adjacent building		proposed setback
		wall of the subject		does not comply
		parcel as measured to		with the required
		the top of the wall plate,		setback, which
		whichever is greater.		occurs at the project
				interface with
				adjacent historic
				resources. Impact
				analysis is required,
				which may include
				recommended
				project revisions to
				address potentially
				significant impacts.
D.5.	Exterior Side	15 ft. or the height of	7'	Non-compliant. The
	Setback	the adjacent building		proposed setback
	(Plymouth St	wall of the subject		does not comply
	South)	parcel as measured to		with the required

		the ten of the wall plate		setback. See also
		the top of the wall plate,		
		whichever is greater.		other compliance
				and design
				comments for the
				Plymouth frontage.
D.6.	Rear Setback (East)	15 ft. or the height of	10'	Non-compliant. The
		the adjacent building		proposed setback
		wall of the subject		does not comply
		parcel as measured to		with the required
		the top of the wall plate,		setback. Landscape
		whichever is greater.		buffer with large
		_		canopy trees is
				needed.
D.7.	Setback Between	12 ft. or ½ the sum of	~37' at narrowest	Non-compliant. The
	principal structures		point of separation	proposed setbacks
		nearest opposing walls	between building	between portions of
		on the subject parcel,	towers, at Podium	the same building do
			Courtyard B.	not comply with the
			Courtyard B.	• •
		of the same building		required setback.
		separated by a court or		Adjusting building
		other open space.		area to widen the
				narrowest pinch
		Requirement is ~120'-		point could improve
		130'.		massing next to
				easterly neighbors
				and provide more
				light/air to the South
				Courtyard.
D.8.	Max Height	45' (36' top of wall	184'	Non-compliant. The
		plate)		proposed building
			15 stories	height exceeds the
		3 stories per GP		maximum height
		guideline (Industrial)		allowed on site.
D.9.	Canopy Analysis	Must show existing and	Canopy calculations	Non-Compliant. The
		proposed tree canopy	include non-project	canopy analysis is
		coverage at start of	site (canopy)	not correctly
		construction, five to 10	coverage.	calculated.
		years' of growth, and		
		full growth, with canopy		
		coverage identified for		
		_		
		each stage as a		

Page 3	34
--------	----

		novembers of avaits				
		percentage of onsite				
_		project area only.				
	Tree Canopy Comments: The landscape plans (Sheet L1.4) include tree canopy analysis that					
includes canopy area not located on the project site. Update the canopy analysis (i.e. canopy						
	Ilustration and square feet/percentage calculations) to reflect onsite tree canopy only.					
D.10.	Vehicle Parking	Studio unit – 1.5 spaces	I -	Non-compliant. The		
		per unit, one space shall	(Puzzle Lifts): 418	resident, residential		
		be covered.		guest and total		
		(275 spaces required)	Guest: 7	proposed parking		
				spaces are less than		
		1 bedroom greater than	Accessible (ADA): 4	the minimum		
		650 sq. ft 2 spaces per		parking spaces		
		unit 1 space shall be	Loading space: 1	required.		
		covered. (284 spaces				
		required)	Total: 430	Additionally, ADA		
				spaces may be		
		2 bedrooms or more - 2		incorrectly included		
		spaces per unit, 1 space		in guest parking		
		shall be covered.		data, but staff		
		(260 spaces required)		cannot determine		
				extent of non-		
		Guest: 15% of total		compliance without		
		spaces; the Zoning		additional parking		
		Administrator may		data, per Building		
		increase the parking		Division compliance		
		requirement		comments on ADA		
		to 2.3 spaces per unit if		and EV parking.		
		needed to ensure		aa = 1 pa		
		adequate guest spaces.				
		(up to 110 additional				
		spaces may be required				
		by ZA.)				
		ογ <i>Σ</i> Λ. <i>)</i>				
		Total parking req.: 819				
		Guest- 1				
		- 1,046 spaces.				
D.11.	Site Coverage	35% of site, maximum	42,708 SF	Non-Compliant. The		
D.11.	Jile Coverage	area covered by	(78% of lot)	proposed project		
		structures.	(7670 01 101)	exceeds the		
		Structures.		maximum allowed		
			1	site coverage.		

Page 35

Sr. No.	Development Standard – MM Zoning District	Requirements	Proposed	Compliance
D.12.	Parcel Size/Lot Area	20,000 sq. ft (min.)	13,811 sq. ft.	Non-compliant. The proposed lot does not meet minimum parcel size.
D.13.	Maximum FAR	0.35 FAR – 0.55 FAR (for industrial uses); no residential use/FAR allowed.	Information not included.	Compliance could not be fully determined since data was not provided specifically for MM District portion of site(s).
D.14.	Front Setback (Rengstorff Ave. – proposed project) (Leghorn St. – existing structure)	25'	7' (proposed project) 1'-6" (existing structure)	Non-compliant.
D.15.		3 stories, per General Plan and no structure may exceed 50' within 200' of an R district. Height limits do not apply to water towers provided they are not less than 50' from any lot line.	Existing structures and 15-story residential building	Non-Compliant. The proposed development does not comply with maximum allowed height. Existing structure compliance could not be determined since height information was not provided.
D.16.	Land Use	Industrial land uses allowed on General Industrial Land. Existing and Proposed residential use not allowed on MM parcel area.	Existing nonconforming residential use, and new residential use.	Non-compliant. The proposal to maintain existing and build new residential land uses on industrial land is inconsistent with allowed industrial land uses/zoning districts.

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175 Page 36

Respond in writing to each comment by marking this comment list or by providing a separate letter. Indicate which detail, plan, specification, or calculation shows the required information by use of 1) corresponding revision numbers and 2) bubble or highlights for easy reference.

Design Comments

City staff has a long history of working effectively and efficiently with applicants to achieve exceptional site and architectural design in citywide development projects, without reducing project density. The goal of this work is always to work collaboratively to achieve a design that meets a developer's objectives, while aligning with City's design-related development standards, General Plan policies and community goals. Collaborative design work is especially important on projects that would introduce high-intensity development next to lower-intensity residential areas, where the new development will stand out by virtue of its scale and have potential impacts on adjacent development.

The enclosed design comments are not project requirements, but strongly suggested by staff in an effort to ensure the project design will positively contribute to the City skyline and result in development that will be attractive to and meet the needs of future residents and neighbors.

- Architectural Character and Connection to Historic Site: The proposed project will be directly adjacent to the historic olive oil factory structure, and the narrative project description indicates the proposal intends to provide a "contemporary interpretation of the industrial warehouse appearance of the historic olive factory to the north." While staff generally supports this admirable objective, the project design should more clearly implement this stated goal as staff is unclear on what elements of the project design are intended to evoke elements of the historic structure. Additionally, staff recommends studying how project massing and other features can provide a mindful and respectful transition and connection to the historic resource. Some specific suggestions for opportunities to help highlight the historic olive factory, while contributing to an all-around improved project for the community and future residents, include:
 - Massing & Articulation: Use massing stepbacks and/or distinctive articulation to visually connect to the historic resource.
 - Olive Oil Water Tower: Consider modifying the high-rise building elements to take inspiration from distinctive elements of the factory such as the elevated water tower by mimic the cylindrical/curvilinear shape of the water tower.
 - Colors, Materials and Details: Incorporate similar/complimentary colors, materials, window designs/styles and other accents (e.g. roofs, awnings, etc.) from the factory building or comparable warehouse buildings of the era.

- Other recommendations: Other design recommendations may result from the historic analysis, as means to preserve/enhance the adjacent historic resource per General Plan Goal LUD-11 or avoid significant impacts to the historic resource.
- Massing and Articulation: The proposed high-rise building is significantly bigger and more dense than what is allowed on the property by the applicable General Plan and zoning district and in the surrounding neighborhood. Since the applicable zoning standards do not contemplate high-rise development, staff recommends reviewing design standards and guidelines in the nearby North Bayshore Precise Plan for opportunities to improve the proposed design. Please also consider the following recommendations for ways to create a more strongly delineated base, improved massing/articulation, and top of the project:
 - Overall Form: Study options to create a more typical modern high-rise floor plan with tower elements that have a more compact/efficient arrangement, setback more substantially from the building base and/or each other.
 - Pedestrian-Scale Building Base: Refine the building base to have a more distinctive and engaging presence through design refinements such as warmer/richer materials providing visual interest; improved transparency; well-defined, pedestrian-scaled entries; and other detailing to break down the scale of the long/large façade. Utilizing light colors with dark or bright accents can also help to accentuate the pedestrian environment.
 - Upper Floor Massing: Rather than projecting upper floor area over the building base, consider upper floor stepbacks to create a more prominent building base, deemphasize taller massing and improve offsite transitions. More efficient/compact tower floor plans and increased tower separation could also help deemphasize taller massing next to easterly neighbors and allow more light into courtyards, particularly the south courtyard.
 - O Upper Floor Articulation: Consider creating more massing breaks (recesses and/or projections) in a planned pattern to provide more distinctive building appearance and visual interest on tower elements. This articulation could also be designed to provide more private open space (i.e. unit balconies), providing for both improved code compliance and quality of life for future residents. As currently designed, the building appears very flat and monolithic, with an unclear/inconsistent strategy for deploying articulating features and design details around the building.
 - Building Terminations/Rooflines: Study options to refine the design of the building top, to retain interesting building forms but remove unnecessary volume (i.e. popped up roof forms or excessive plate heights). Also consider opportunities to relocate enclosed top/roof level spaces) further away from the adjacent residential neighborhood.
- Neighborhood Site Design: The design of setback areas is important to improve project integration with the surrounding neighborhood, provide curb appeal for the project and achieve broad City goals and policies for human-scaled, pedestrian-oriented, and engaging frontages. In particular, the current frontage designs provide minimal landscaping, bike parking and other amenities (e.g. art, benches, etc.). Please consider:

- Rengstorff Ave. Frontage: Improve landscaping and amenities to soften the interface between the public sidewalk and the building, given the adjacent high-volume roadway. A detached sidewalk (with street trees and onsite trees), layered understory plantings, additional building inset, vertical landscaping, pedestrian-oriented furnishings/art, bike racks around building entries and similar improvements would help improve pedestrian comfort in concert with architectural enhancements to the building base.
- o **Plymouth St. Frontage:** Study creative opportunities to increase onsite frontage landscaping (e.g. trees and understory planting) in the setback area along Plymouth St., along with special paving and other pedestrian-oriented furnishings/art/bike racks to help soften the transition into the adjacent residential neighborhood. This is particularly important if utility rooms cannot be internalized in favor of more active ground-floor uses along Plymouth Street. If aboveground equipment cannot be relocated from this frontage, it should be carefully placed to avoid conflicts with visibility triangles and feature decorative enclosures, landscape screening and other amenities to better integrate with the pedestrian streetscape.
- Easterly Interface with Residential Neighborhood: A high-canopy landscape buffer is desirable in the rear (easterly) setback area.
- o **Ground Floor Layouts:** Study ground-floor room and elevator/stair layouts to maximize more active area along exterior building walls/windows.
- Tree Preservation and Replacement: Evaluate opportunities to maximize tree preservation, particularly Heritage trees. If existing, healthy trees cannot be preserved (in situ or through transplantation), identify landscape plan opportunities for replacement of existing trees at a minimum ratio of 2:1 replacement of Heritage trees and 1:1 replacement of non-Heritage trees, with a priority for planting of California Native and drought-tolerant trees.

Staff Contact Information

Project comments, and corrections in this letter are provided from the Planning Division. Please contact the appropriate point person listed below if you have questions regarding specific department/division comments.

- **Planning Division** Edgar Maravilla, Project Planner, (650)903-6306 or Edgar.Maravilla@mountainview.gov
- Building Division Diana Perkins, Consulting Plan Checker, (650) 903-6313 or diana.perkins@shumscoda.com
- Neighborhoods and Housing Division Anna Reynoso, (650) 903-6379 or neighborhoods@mountainview.gov
- **Fire Department** Tanner Wingo, Fire Prevention Engineer, (650) 903-6313 or tanner.wingo@mountainview.gov.

- **Public Works Department** Susana Valencia, Civil Engineer, (650) 903-6311 or Susana.Valencia@mountainview.gov
- **Community Services Department, Forestry Division** Scott Stringer, Consulting Arborist, (925) 484-0211 or scott.stringer@bartlett.com
- Fire and Environmental Safety Division, Hazardous Materials Bryan Barrows, Hazardous Materials Specialist, (650) 903-6378 bryan.barrows@mountainview.gov.
- Fire and Environmental Safety Division, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program Carrie Sandahl, Fire Marshal, (650) 903-6378 or carrie.sandahl@mountainview.gov

Additional Fee Requirements

Cost-Recovery Expenses: This project is classified as a cost-recovery project, as it requires staff time beyond the amount covered within the scope of the standard application fee. In addition to providing the standard application fees required for this project, your initial deposit will be charged for each hour of staff time spent on this project from entitlement review through construction completion, if approved. As funds run low, City staff will contact you for additional funds to be provided in order to continue the project review.

Consultant Costs: This project will require additional studies completed by an outside consultant(s) in connection with the California Environmental Quality Act, for which additional fees will be required from the applicant. The amount due to the City will be equal to the complete consultant contract cost plus a 15% City administrative fee, due in full prior to execution of consultant and applicant-funding contracts for the CEQA analysis. Once City staff has received a scope of work and cost from the qualified consultant, staff will share that information with the applicant and collect fees to begin the environmental review.

Tenant Relocation Costs: This project may require relocation assistance for existing tenants, with the cost of any required tenant relocation assistance to be paid by the applicant. Additionally, the cost of the City's tenant relocation services provider/consultant is borne by the applicant and subject to a funding agreement with the City.

Timeline, Process and Resubmittal

As part of the development review process, you are encouraged to conduct a neighborhood meeting to gather public input; however, this is not a requirement and would be conducted solely by the applicant. Next steps for the project also include:

Project Compliance & CEQA Analysis: As part of the development review process, the
project must address identified inconsistencies and comply with CEQA. Staff is actively
engaging consultants to develop a CEQA scope of work. Once the project is scoped, staff
will schedule a meeting with your team to discuss the CEQA review, which will commence
when staff receives the fees for the work and applicable contracts are executed. Future

environmental review may require public meetings pursuant to any applicable CEQA requirements (e.g. EIR scoping meetings, etc.).

- *Design Review*: Staff has provided initial design comments in this letter and welcomes the opportunity to work with the applicant to enhance the project design, including opportunities for design review with staff and the Development Review Committee (DRC).
- Required Public Hearings: As the development review process concludes and environmental review is completed, the project will require a project recommendation(s) at an Administrative Zoning/Subdivision Committee public hearing(s), and final action at a City Council public hearing.

With the exception of public meetings for design review with the DRC, required public hearings will be scheduled once the environmental (CEQA) review is complete. At minimum, notices for public hearings will be sent to property owners and tenants within 750' of the project site.

Additionally, a project sign must be posted along each street frontage of the project site identifying the application request, along with contact information for the applicant and City staff at least 10 days prior to the first public meeting for the project. The sign template, along with detailed specifications, will be provided to you under a separate email once the project scope has been confirmed.

Once you have gathered the missing information and completed the necessary revisions to the application materials, please submit all revised materials electronically in .pdf format to the Planning Division for review at www.mountainview.gov/planning. Please submit the following:

- Revised plans Submit revised project plans addressing the incomplete items and comments enclosed. To expedite review when submitting revised plans, please "cloud" each revision on the plan set.
- Response to Comments Provide a response to City Department comments included and enclosed with this letter. Your response must note where (or how) you have addressed each comment or explain how you have responded to each issue raised in this letter.
- **Site Visit** Staff would like to arrange a visit to the project site to take photos of the existing site and building conditions, along with the surrounding area.

Conclusion

Please be advised that this summary does not constitute a final review. The proposed project may be subject to additional standard City conditions. Revisions to your plans may result in additional comments or requirements.

901, 913 and 987 North Rengstorff Avenue (APNs: 015-02-40, 015-02-39 and 015-02-41) PL-2023-174 & PL-2023-175 Page 41

If the Planning Division does not receive a comprehensive response to this letter and any remaining fee payments within 90 calendar days (June 3, 2024), your application will be considered 'withdrawn' due to inactivity and the project file will be closed with no further review or notification. If you choose to move forward with your project after closure of the file, a new application form, fee, and submittal materials will be required to be submitted to the Planning Division.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this application. If you have any questions, please contact me by phone at (650) 903-6306 or by email at Edgar.Maravilla@mountainview.gov.

Respectfully,

Edgar Maravilla Project Planner